

# Judicial Impact Fiscal Note

<b>Bill Number:</b> 1087 E HB	<b>Title:</b> Traffic safety cameras	<b>Agency:</b> 055-Admin Office of the Courts
-------------------------------	--------------------------------------	---

## Part I: Estimates

**No Fiscal Impact**

*The revenue and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Responsibility for expenditures may be subject to the provisions of RCW 43.135.060.*

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.

Legislative Contact: Jerry Long	Phone: 360-786-7306	Date: 03/13/2015
Agency Preparation: Renee Lewis	Phone: 360-704-4142	Date: 03/13/2015
Agency Approval: Ramsey Radwan	Phone: 360-357-2406	Date: 03/13/2015
OFM Review:	Phone:	Date:

Request # EHB 1087-1

## **Part II: Narrative Explanation**

### **II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact on the Courts**

The engrossed house bill clarifies the language that would be amended in RCW 46.63.170(1). There is no change to the judicial impact.

The original bill would amend RCW 46.63.170(1) to read: (i) A flashing yellow beacon and a sign reading "CAMERA ACTIVE WHEN FLASHING" must be placed in locations where school speed zones are enforced by automated traffic safety cameras . A beacon required under this subsection (1)(i) must flash only when an automated traffic safety camera is being used and not at any other time.

This amendment is not expected to cause any additional workload to AOC or to the courts .

### **II. B - Cash Receipts Impact**

### **II. C - Expenditures**

## **Part III: Expenditure Detail**

## **Part IV: Capital Budget Impact**